

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1-8 have been amended.

The Examiner has rejected applicant's claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the Campo (U.S. 6,073,033) patent in view of the Kleinschmidt, et al. (U.S. 6,085,112) patent. The Examiner has also rejected applicant's claims 7 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the Kleinschmidt, et al. patent. Applicant has amended applicant's claims and, with respect to applicant's claims, as amended, and their respective dependent claims, the Examiner's rejections are respectfully traversed.

Applicant's independent claims 5 and 7 have been amended to depend on applicant's independent claim 1. Applicant's independent claims 1, 2, and 4 have been amended to better define applicant's invention. More particularly, applicant's independent claim 1 recites a mobile-type electronic apparatus comprising a communication means capable of transmitting and receiving image data, a display portion for displaying image data, an enlargement display means having a prism mounted on the display portion and formed on reflecting or refracting surface thereon to optically enlarge an image displayed on the display portion to display the enlarged image as a virtual image; a display circuit arranged to cause the display portion to display image data; a plurality of operation buttons for controlling the display circuit and a control means for controlling a power supply for the display circuit in accordance with an operation on the operation means. Applicant's claim 1 has been further amended to recite the display portion and the operation buttons being provided on a common operation panel of a main body of the mobile-type electronic apparatus and the prism is mounted on the display portion. Applicant's amended claims 2 and 4 have been similarly amended.

Such constructions are not taught or suggested by the cited art of record. More particularly, neither the Campo patent nor the Kleinschmidt, et al. patent teach or suggest the specific arrangement of the mobile-type electronic apparatus recited in applicant's independent claims 1, 2 and 4, where the display portion and the operation buttons are provided on a common operation panel of a main body of the mobile-type electronic apparatus and the prism is mounted on the display portion.

Specifically, the Examiner has acknowledged that the Campo patent does not teach a prism mounted on the display portion. In addition, there is nothing taught or suggested in the Campo patent of a display portion upon which a prism is mounted and operation buttons for a display circuit for the display portion being provided on a common operation panel of the main body. In contrast, in the Campo patent, the Heads-Up Display (54) has no prism and is at the end of the heads-up display body portion 26 which is devoid of any operation buttons. The operation buttons (keypad 36 and switches 47 and 48), instead, are in a separate main body portion 24 to which heads-up display body portion 26 is pivotally mounted. Accordingly, even in the neutral position of the heads-up display portion 26, when it is adjacent the main body portion 24, the operation buttons (keypad 36 and switches 47 and 48), and the Heads-Up Display (54) are not provided in a common panel of the main body.

Moreover, the Kleinschmidt, fails to teach or suggest a display portion and operation buttons being provided on a common operation panel of a main body of the mobile-type electronic apparatus. In particular, in the Kleinschmidt, et al. patent the image display device (BAV3) is formed as a display panel separate from the main body of the communication device and the operations buttons (EM and F) are attached to a side of the main body. Thus, even in the retracted or folded position of the display panel, the operation buttons (EM and F),

and the display panel (BAV3) are not provided in a common panel of the main body.


Additionally, even if the Heads-Up Display (54) of the Campo patent were modified to incorporate a prism as disclosed in the Kleinschmidt, et al. patent, the modified Heads-Up Display (54) and the operation buttons (keypad 36 and switches 47 and 48), would still not be provided in a common panel of the main body. The teachings of the patents take alone, or in combination, would thus not result in applicant's invention of amended claims 1, 2 and 4, and their respective dependent claims.

In view of the above, it is submitted that applicant's claims, as amended, patentably distinguish over the cited art of record. Accordingly, reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

Dated: December 23, 2004

COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C.
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 790-9273

Respectfully submitted,


John J. Torrente
Reg. No. 26,359
Attorney for Applicant